

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/623,773		07/22/2003	Kuo-Pin Yu	YUKU3002/EM	6109
23364	7590	09/09/2004		EXAMINER	
		AS, PLLC	CHIU, RALEIGH W		
625 SLATI	ERS LANE	3			
FOURTH I	LOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANI	RIA, VA	22314	3711		

DATE MAILED: 09/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/623,773	YU, KUO-PIN				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Raleigh Chiu	3711				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Six (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 July 2004</u> .						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-13 is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-10 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 4 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica ity documents have been receiv ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No /ed in this National Stage				
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) 🛛 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>04/16/2004</u> .		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

 Applicant's election of claims 1-10, but requesting reconsideration of claims 11-13, in the paper filed 15 July 2004 is acknowledged.

Applicant has requested reconsideration of the requirement to elect a single species under 35 USC 121 by merely noting that the review of the non-elected claims should not be excessively burdensome but provides no evidence. Therefore, the response does not constitute a proper traverse. The absence of a proper traverse directed to the reasons for an election is taken as an acquiescence to the Examiner's requirement subject to the non-allowance of a generic claim. Accordingly, applicant's election to the requirement effectively becomes an election without traverse. See MPEP §§ 818.03(a) and 818.03(b).

In view of the failure to properly traverse the requirement for an election of species means applicant has lost the right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144 and 1.181. See MPEP § 808.03(c).

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Art Unit: 3711

2. Claims 11-13 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-3 and 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Number 4,983,242 (Reed).

Regarding claims 1, 2 and 6-10, Figures 1 and 2 of Reed show a frame member 22, and elastic member (dampening sleeve 26) and cover layer 24. Also, see column 3, line 35 through column 4, line 6. As Reed teaches the use of different materials in layers 22 and 24, the vibration wave transmission velocities are considered to be inherently different.

Regarding claim 3, layer 26 is broadly considered to be a piece.

5. Claims 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Number 5,409,215 (You).

Art Unit: 3711

Regarding claim 1 and 5, Figure 8 of You shows a frame 62, elastic member 66, cover layer 64 and interlayer 70. Because the four sections can be made of different materials, the vibration wave transmission velocities are considered to be inherently different. Also, see You at column 3, lines 7-42.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raleigh Chiu whose telephone number is (703) 308-2247. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Vidovich, can be reached on (703) 308-1513.

The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval

Application/Control Number: 10/623,773

Art Unit: 3711

(PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Raleigh W. Chiu Primary Examiner

Technology Center 3700

Page 5

RWC:dei:feif

1 September 2004